



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,536	01/23/2004	Sang Woon Suh	1740-0000044/US	4973
30593	7590	02/09/2009	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			LANIER, BENJAMIN E	
P.O. BOX 8910			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20195			2432	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/09/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/762,536	SUH ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BENJAMIN E. LANIER	2432	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) BENJAMIN E. LANIER. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Gary Yacura (Reg. No. 35,416). (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: Nagai.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Yacura discussed the Nagai reference and pointed out differences between Nagai and the claimed invention. Examiner could not comment on the accuracy of these statements because the interview agenda failed to provide the Examiner with these points in the detail discussed. Examiner stated that he would consider the arguments made when considering the official response to the Office Action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Benjamin E Lanier/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432	
--------------------------------------------------------	--